

Introduction to String Theory
 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
 Dr. Emanuel Malek

Exercise Sheet 11

1 Explain why the scattering amplitude with b and c ghost insertions

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^{(n)}(\Lambda_i, p_i) &= \sum_{\text{topologies}} g_s^{-\chi} \int \prod_{I=1}^{\mu} dm^I \int DX Db Dc e^{-S_{\text{Poly}} - S_{\text{ghost}}} \\
 &\times \prod_{I=1}^{\mu} \frac{1}{4\pi} (b, \partial_I \hat{g}) \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} c(z_i) \bar{c}(\bar{z}_i) V_{\Lambda_i}(p_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i) \prod_{i=\kappa+1}^n \int d^2 z_i V_{\Lambda_i}(p_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i),
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

is BRST invariant.

Hint: Recall that the BRST variation of the b ghost field is the stress-energy tensor and assume that the moduli space is compact without boundary.

2 Consider the correlation function of $n + 3$ c -ghosts and n b -ghosts on S^2 , for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n+3} c(z_i) \prod_{i'=1}^n b(\tilde{z}_{i'}) \right\rangle_{S^2 \text{ ghost}}. \tag{2.1}$$

(a) What are the zeros and poles of the correlation function (2.1)? Write down a meromorphic function that has the right zeros and poles.

(b) How does (2.1) behave as one of the $z_i \rightarrow \infty$? How does (2.1) behave as one of the $\tilde{z}_{i'} \rightarrow \infty$? Use this to determine (2.1) up to a constant.

3 The 4-point tachyon tree-level amplitude is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{\text{tree}}^{(4)}(T, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) &\sim g_s^2 |z_{12}|^{2+\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_2} |z_{23}|^{2+\alpha' p_2 \cdot p_3} |z_{13}|^{2+\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_3} \delta\left(\sum_i p_i\right) \\
 &\times \int d^2 z |z_1 - z|^{\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_4} |z_2 - z|^{\alpha' p_2 \cdot p_4} |z_3 - z|^{\alpha' p_3 \cdot p_4}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

(a) We can use $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to fix $z_1 = 0$, $z_2 = 1$, $z_3 = \lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Show that upon doing this, the terms involving z_3 cancel and the 4-point amplitude (3.1) becomes

$$A_{\text{tree}}^{(4)}(T, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \sim g_s^2 \delta\left(\sum_i p_i\right) \int d^2 z |z|^{\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_4} |1 - z|^{\alpha' p_2 \cdot p_4}. \tag{3.2}$$

(b) The Γ function is defined as

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty dt t^{z-1} e^{-t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.3}$$

Use a saddle-point approximation to prove Stirling's formula

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(z) \sim \exp(z \ln z). \tag{3.4}$$

(c) Use the fact that the 4-point amplitude can be written as

$$A_{\text{tree}}^{(4)}(T, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \sim g_s^2 \delta\left(\sum_i p_i\right) \frac{2\pi\Gamma(-1 - \alpha's/4)\Gamma(-1 - \alpha't/4)\Gamma(-1 - \alpha'u/4)}{\Gamma(2 + \alpha's/4)\Gamma(2 + \alpha't/4)\Gamma(2 + \alpha'u/4)}, \quad (3.5)$$

and (3.4) to show that in the limit $s \rightarrow \infty$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, with s/t fixed, we get

$$A_{\text{tree}}^{(4)}(T, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha'}{2}(s \ln s + t \ln t + u \ln u)\right). \quad (3.6)$$

4 You will now use a holomorphicity argument as in question (2) to compute a correlation function of the type

$$\langle \partial X^\mu(z) \prod_{i=1}^n : e^{ip_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} : \rangle_{S^2, \text{Poly}}. \quad (4.1)$$

(a) Use the $\partial X(z) : e^{ip_i \cdot X(z', \bar{z}')} : \text{OPE}$ to determine (4.1) in terms of the Tachyon n-point correlator

$$A_{T, \text{tree}}^{(n)}(p_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i) = \langle \prod_{i=1}^n : e^{ip_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} : \rangle_{S^2, \text{Poly}}, \quad (4.2)$$

and up to the addition of functions holomorphic in z .

(b) Use the fact that (4.1) should be well-defined as $z \rightarrow \infty$ to argue that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\mu = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\langle \partial X^\mu(z) \prod_{i=1}^n : e^{ip_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} : \rangle_{S^2, \text{Poly}} = -\frac{i\alpha'}{2} A_{T, \text{tree}}^{(n)}(p_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^\mu}{z - z_i}. \quad (4.4)$$

Note: The actual correlation function that we need to compute an amplitude involving a higher-level particle is

$$\langle : \partial X^\mu(z) e^{ip \cdot X(z, \bar{z})} : \prod_{i=1}^n : e^{ip_i \cdot X(z_i, \bar{z}_i)} : \rangle_{S^2, \text{Poly}} = -\frac{i\alpha'}{2} A_{T, \text{tree}}^{(n)}(p_i, z_i, \bar{z}_i) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^\mu}{z - z_i}. \quad (4.5)$$

This can be deduced from the result (4.4) by arguing that the normal ordering removes the singularity as $z \rightarrow z_i$.

5 Optional: Consider the gauge-fixed path integral with vertex operator insertions and metric moduli. We want to fix the position of κ vertex operators at \hat{z}_i , $i = 1, \dots, \kappa$. We therefore define the Faddeev-Popov determinant with moduli and fixed positions of κ vertex operators as

$$1 = \Delta_{FP}(\hat{g}, \hat{z}_i) \prod_{I=1}^{\mu} \int dm^I \int D\zeta \delta(\hat{g} - \hat{g}(m)^\zeta) \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} \delta(\hat{z}_i - \hat{z}_i^\zeta). \quad (5.1)$$

(a) How does the moduli-dependent metric $\hat{g}(m)$ change under a diffeomorphism + Weyl transformation? I.e. what is $\hat{g}(m)^\zeta$?

(b) How do the positions of the insertions \hat{z}_i change under a diffeomorphism + Weyl transformations? I.e. what is \hat{z}_i^{ζ} ?

(c) Give a path-integral representation of Δ_{FP}^{-1} .

(d) Using the usual trick of replacing commuting variables with Grassman fields to invert the determinant, argue that the Faddeev-Popov determinant $\Delta_{FP}(\hat{g}, \hat{z}_i)$ is given by

$$\Delta_{FP}(\hat{g}, \hat{z}_i) = \int Db Dc D^\mu \gamma D^\kappa \eta \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi} (b, P \cdot c - \gamma^I \partial_I \hat{g}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \eta_{\alpha i} c^\alpha(\hat{z}_i) \right). \quad (5.2)$$

(e) Perform the Grassmann path integral over γ and η to obtain the final result

$$\Delta_{FP}(\hat{g}, \hat{z}_i) = \int Db Dc e^{-S_{\text{ghost}}} \prod_{I=1}^{\mu} \frac{1}{4\pi} (b, \partial_I \hat{g}) \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} c^\alpha(\hat{z}_i). \quad (5.3)$$

(f) Show that the n -point amplitudes, with $n \geq \kappa$ reduces to the form given in the lectures, i.e. with κ unintegrated vertex operators (involving c -ghost insertions), $n - \kappa$ integrated vertex operators and b -ghost insertions for each modulus.

6 Optional: Consider

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty du \int_0^\infty dv e^{-u} e^{-v} u^{x-1} v^{y-1}. \quad (6.1)$$

By changing to coordinates $u = a^2$ and $v = b^2$, show that the Euler Beta function, defined as

$$B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}, \quad (6.2)$$

is given by

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^1 dt t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1}. \quad (6.3)$$

7 Optional: The Γ function is defined as

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty dt t^{z-1} e^{-t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (7.1)$$

(a) Show that

$$|z|^{2a-2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{-a} e^{-|z|^2 t}. \quad (7.2)$$

(b) Use the result (7.2) to show that

$$\int d^2 z |z|^{2a-2} |1-z|^{2b-2} = \frac{2\pi\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(1-b)} \int_0^1 d\beta (1-\beta)^{a-1} \beta^{b-1}, \quad (7.3)$$

with $a + b + c = 1$.

(c) Using (6.3), show that

$$\int d^2 z |z|^{2a-2} |1-z|^{2b-2} = \frac{2\pi\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(1-b)\Gamma(1-c)}, \quad (7.4)$$

with $a + b + c = 1$.